

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

a

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,458	05/16/2006	Maria Antonia Vitiello	PRD2091US-PCT	3414
27777 7590 12/27/2007 PHILIP S. JOHNSON		EXAMINER		
JOHNSON & JOHNSON			RIGGS II, LARRY D	
ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·		•	12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/579,458	VITIELLO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Larry D. Riggs II	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or expected. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and the application.	election requirement.	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-16, 41 and 42 are drawn to a method for selecting a panel of biomarkers for determining the stage of sepsis in an animal.

Group II, claim(s) 17-26, drawn to a method for providing survival prognosis and stage of sepsis for an animal diagnosed with sepsis.

Group III, claim(s) 27-31, drawn to a method of testing a compound for treating sepsis.

Group IV, claim(s) 32-40, drawn to a method and model system for determining a reference score for an infected group and effectiveness of a compound.

Group V, claim(s) 43-44, drawn to a method of treating sepsis.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The five different methods are directed to different results and thus necessarily have different modes of action.

Regarding Groups I and II, each process is limited to comprising distinct process steps not required by the other methods which define a special technical feature that is unique to each method. For example the method of Group I requires selecting a panel

Application/Control Number:

10/579,458 Art Unit: 1631

of biomarkers associated with a stage of sepsis. Group II requires detecting a stage of sepsis and a prognosis of sepsis by analysis of sample data and scoring the data.

Regarding Groups I and III, each process is limited to comprising distinct process steps not required by the other methods which define a special technical feature that is unique to each method. For example the method of Group I requires selecting a panel of biomarkers associated with a stage of sepsis. Group III requires testing a compound for treating sepsis.

Regarding Groups I and IV, each process is limited to comprising distinct process steps not required by the other methods which define a special technical feature that is unique to each method. For example the method of Group I requires selecting a panel of biomarkers associated with a stage of sepsis. Group IV requires a model system and method of using the model system for determining scores of infected animal groups and effectiveness of a compound in treatment.

Regarding Groups I and V, each process is limited to comprising distinct process steps not required by the other methods which define a special technical feature that is unique to each method. For example the method of Group I requires selecting a panel of biomarkers associated with a stage of sepsis. Group V requires treating sepsis with a compound.

Regarding Groups II and III, each process is limited to comprising distinct process steps not required by the other methods which define a special technical feature that is unique to each method. Group II requires detecting a stage of sepsis and

Art Unit: 1631

a prognosis of sepsis by analysis of sample data and scoring the data. Group III requires testing a compound for treating sepsis.

Regarding Groups II and IV, each process is limited to comprising distinct process steps not required by the other methods which define a special technical feature that is unique to each method. Group II requires detecting a stage of sepsis and a prognosis of sepsis by analysis of sample data and scoring the data. Group IV requires a model system and method of using the model system for determining scores of infected animal groups and effectiveness of a compound in treatment.

Regarding Groups II and V, each process is limited to comprising distinct process steps not required by the other methods which define a special technical feature that is unique to each method. Group II requires detecting a stage of sepsis and a prognosis of sepsis by analysis of sample data and scoring the data. Group V requires treating sepsis with a compound.

Regarding Groups III and IV, each process is limited to comprising distinct process steps not required by the other methods which define a special technical feature that is unique to each method. Group III requires testing a compound for treating sepsis. Group IV requires a model system and method of using the model system for determining scores of infected animal groups and effectiveness of a compound in treatment.

Regarding Groups III and V, each process is limited to comprising distinct process steps not required by the other methods which define a special technical

Application/Control Number:

10/579,458

Art Unit: 1631

feature that is unique to each method. Group III requires testing a compound for treating sepsis. Group V requires treating sepsis with a compound.

Regarding Groups IV and V, each process is limited to comprising distinct process steps not required by the other methods which define a special technical feature that is unique to each method. Group IV requires a model system and method of using the model system for determining scores of infected animal groups and effectiveness of a compound in treatment. Group V requires treating sepsis with a compound.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

Art Unit: 1631

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.

101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing

the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a

right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly

and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election

shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time

of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement

will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected

invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these

claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is

the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable

over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) of the other invention.

10/579,458 Art Unit: 1631

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larry D. Riggs II whose telephone number is 571-270-3062. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30AM-5:00PM, ALT. Friday, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marjorie Moran can be reached on 571-272-0720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/LDR/ Larry D. Riggs II Examiner, Art Unit 1631

/Shubo (Joe) Zhou/ Shubo (Joe) Zhou, Ph.D. Primary Examiner